

RAMS for remediation works on Net Project Management Site, Sea Road, Kilcoole.



Location:	Sea Road, Kilcoole, Co. Wicklow	Duration:	5-10 days	Assessment by:	FM
Description:	Asbestos removal and disposal works	Works by:	Gravity Construction	Date:	1 st June 2016

Activity/Hazard	Potential risks from these activities	Likely-hood 1-3	Sever-ity 1-3	Risk Rating L x S	Controls Measures Required	By whom	Risk Rating after controls in place
Exposure to asbestos fibres during the removal and packaging of asbestos cement products	Exposure to asbestos fibres to removal operatives Exposure to asbestos fibres may lead to the following asbestos related diseases: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Asbestosis ○ Lung Cancer Mesothelioma	3	3	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Asbestos survey carried out to identify asbestos type and the risk of exposure ○ Provide all employees with training/information about the dangers of asbestos and the use of PPE ○ Do not use power tools on asbestos materials, as they create dust, use hand tools instead ○ The asbestos cement waste should be doubled bagged in 1,000-gauge polythene and FIBCs and temporarily stored on site in a dedicated waste storage area 	Site supervisor and all asbestos removal operatives	1
Manual Handling	Spinal Injuries, Hernias, Cuts, crushing of hands or fingers Injuries to toes and feet Various sprains, strains etc. Surveyors may be affected	3	2	6	All personnel involved in manual handling will wear safety shoes, and gloves as appropriate Avoid carrying awkward items up and down steps All operatives to have Manual Handling Training	Asbestos removal operatives	1

RAMS for remediation works on Net Project Management Site, Sea Road, Kilcoole.



Slips, trips and falls	Falls can be fatal serious injury Surveyors and other site personnel may be affected	3	2	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Identify areas where levels change, e.g. slopes, ramps, steps/ stairs, unexpected holes, bumps, drainage channels ○ Identify mats and rugs – decorative or functional ○ Identify slippery surfaces ○ Identify poorly maintained, damaged floors ○ Identify trailing cables and hoses ○ Beware of oil or grease on plant room floors ○ Keep the working area cordoned, clean & tidy 	Asbestos removal operatives	1
Hand tools	Cuts, bruising and minor injuries.	3	1	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Safe systems of work to be adopted. ○ Supervision. ○ Tool box talk 	Asbestos removal operatives	1
Contaminating other areas of the site while removing contaminated aggregate	Exposure to asbestos fibres to removal operatives Exposure to asbestos fibres may lead to the following asbestos related diseases: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Asbestosis ○ Lung Cancer Mesothelioma	3	1	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Exclusions zones to be clearly marked ○ Barriers to be erected ○ Mist walls will be in use to suppress any dust ○ Wind will be monitored and works will cease if winds escalate beyond manageable levels ○ A weathervane will be in use to monitor wind speed and direction 	Asbestos removal operatives	1
Plant and machinery cross contamination	Exposure to asbestos fibres to removal operatives Exposure to asbestos fibres may lead to the following asbestos related diseases: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Asbestosis ○ Lung Cancer Mesothelioma Contaminating other areas of the site	3	1	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Plant is only to be operated in there pre determined Exclusions zones whihc be clearly marked ○ Barriers to be erected ○ Machines will be decontaminated every evening on completion of works 	Asbestos removal operatives	1

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Jim Fanning](mailto:jim.fanning@hsa.ie)
Subject: RE: Sea Road - contaminated stone - without prejudice
Date: 24 June 2016 09:36:44

Dear Mr Fanning,

Further to the below, my client shall be corresponding with Kilsaran, regarding our previously raised issues (as discussed), to address those parts of their contractors method statement and risk assessment prior to works commencing on site. Should Kilsaran contact yourself or the HAS, querying the points we raise, we trust that you have all the information you require from us in regards to reaffirming such.

I am available after 11:30 today on my mobile should you wish to discuss.

Kind Regards,

[REDACTED]



t
e
w

[REDACTED]
www.principis.co.uk

[REDACTED] email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

From: Jim Fanning [mailto:jim_fanning@hsa.ie]
Sent: 23 June 2016 16:05
To: [REDACTED] <matt@principis.co.uk>
Subject: RE: Sea Road - contaminated stone - without prejudice

H [REDACTED],

Sorry for delay in getting back to you. I have no problem with your summary (below) of our telephone discussion this morning.

Kind regards

Jim Fanning

James Fanning | Inspector, Occupational Hygiene
Health and Safety Authority,
The Metropolitan Building, James Joyce Street, Dublin 1

D01 KOY8 .

Tel: 01-6147056

Email: jim_fanning@hsa.ie

Web: www.hsa.ie

Healthy, Safe and Productive Lives

From: [REDACTED] [<mailto:matt@principis.co.uk>]
Sent: 23 June 2016 12:48
To: Jim Fanning
Subject: Sea Road - contaminated stone - without prejudice
Importance: High

Dear Mr Fanning,

Thank you for the opportunity to discuss the above site and our position in respect of works to be undertaken as submitted methods and risk assessments provided and our understanding of your position at the HSA, I have summarised our discussion in brief below.

- It was discussed that [REDACTED] have been told that the HSA have approved methods and risk assessments, therefore we had requested such in writing as in our opinion the HSA do not approve methods, it was confirmed that the HSA has received notification and methods from Kilsarans appointed contractors.
- [REDACTED] stated information received to date in respect of methods; notably the initial notification from [REDACTED] 03/06/16 for asbestos containing aggregate which specified mechanical digging/extraction and bagging through a hopper.
- [REDACTED] further noted that material has been since classified as asbestos cement, in most recent submitted method statement by another contractor and that this material is not asbestos cement, JF was in agreement. Current risk assessment relating to exposure has been undertaken as such and this is inaccurate. Therefore we have requested a suitable assessment.
- JF noted the background relating to the blast and relative levels of risk associated with works which had been undertaken since.
- [REDACTED] outlined that his position was to protect his clients liabilities in respect of the ongoing works and not works which had previously been undertaken, such as laying stone and the like they are a separate matter. [REDACTED] is not in place to approve or select contractors methods or risk assessments but just to ensure that they adhere to the current asbestos regulations, guidance and European Directive again to prevent any potential prejudice against the site owner and liabilities associated with the works.
- [REDACTED] noted that methods had also changed from mechanical digging to vacuum extraction and that he had requested confirmation that such extraction of materials is suitable as per regulations and guidance in particular Regulation 9 (2) ensuing that all premises and equipment involved in the treatment of asbestos can be effectively cleaned. Together with section 17 of the guidance and conformity to British standards. Again this is not to approve methods but to ensure that the right questions have been asked in relation to this, this is the responsibility of the contractor and their client, we just seek confirmation on such matters.

- [REDACTED] noted that the Sea Road site has three materials which require removal, cobbles, the stone and soil and is this method of vacuum suitable for all? As method statement does not specify such, and if not, how are other materials handled/removed. Particularly the request to remove 150mm of soil from tracked areas had not been addressed. JF understood this request and difference with materials.
- JF noted that Mooneys undertook a job in Ashford using original methods of diggers and asked whether there were issues with that methods that [REDACTED] had raised. [REDACTED] re-affirmed that he had no issues with those proposed methods, however method statement and risk assessment most notably did not demonstrate suitable assessment in accordance with regulation 8 and the guidance and lacked detail relating to sequence and handling of different materials.
- JF noted that re-assurance monitoring undertaken at other sites has proved methods are working well. [REDACTED] noted that this is pleasing and that we have requested such records be used for assessment and were expecting such records to be used in respect of assessments, however such information has not been used.
- JF provided detail on selection of vacuum method as this was going to be used at the quarry site. [REDACTED] clarified that it is important that works are undertaken in respect of health and safety and in particular reducing the potential to those being exposed to asbestos, including anyone in appropriate RPE and PPE and that methods which remove persons from the workplace and reduce such risks are preferable, however they need appropriate assessment and must adhere to regulations and guidance.
- [REDACTED] raised the point of independence and how his client does not view Kilsaran appointing contractor and consultant as independent and that they had reasonably requested their own consultant be in attendance to work alongside/all to ensure that upon completion they and the owner can be satisfied validation was independent so again as to not potentially prejudice the future of the property and any liabilities. The watching brief consultant would not be in place to change methods but would be able to work with all in substantiating methods and risk assessments and this is in the interests of all parties. JF was in agreement.
- [REDACTED] thanked JF for his time and noted that he shall send notes to JF summarising the conversation.

END

I trust the above suitably picks up the relevant points we discussed from my notes taken and that you are in agreement, if you can let me know as soon as possible any issues you may have prior to my issue to my client. I am hopeful that we can have works progress on site soon in the interests of all parties.

Kind Regards,

[REDACTED]



t
e
w



www.principis.co.uk



This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager.

The Health and Safety Authority, its servants or agents, accept no liability for any errors or omissions in the information provided in this correspondence or for any loss or damage occasioned to any person as a result of using the information provided.

This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.

From: [Jim Fanning](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: seagreen query
Date: 23 June 2016 17:07:00

Hi [REDACTED]

Further to our telephone conversation, it would be important that the Method Statement addresses fully the additional works and recognises the new risk scenarios presented with application of appropriate control measures. In relation to bringing back the concrete to the quarry, my advice would be to ensure that a thorough risk assessment has been carried out. It would also be advisable for you to have consulted with your DGSA (Rilta) to confirm that any ADR issues are addressed.

Kind regards
Jim Fanning

James Fanning | Inspector, Occupational Hygiene
Health and Safety Authority,
The Metropolitan Building, James Joyce Street, Dublin 1
D01 K0Y8 .

Tel: 01-6147056
Email: jim_fanning@hsa.ie
Web: www.hsa.ie

Healthy, Safe and Productive Lives

From: [REDACTED] [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@awnconsulting.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@awnconsulting.com)]
Sent: 23 June 2016 13:30
To: Jim Fanning
Subject: seagreen query

Jim,

On seagreen, Karl O Kelly is doing a pilot this afternoon. G & L are doing reassurance on site and John Kelleher at the quarry. Woods would prefer to have the concrete pathway removed as it overlies the material from the quarry. If we have a method statement updated for delivery by truck from the Bromley site, could we also bring this concrete back to the quarry i.e rather than bag it? If so I would get Karl O Kelly to amend his method statement accordingly.

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

AWN Consulting
The Tecpro Building
IDA Business and Technology Park
Clonsaugh
Dublin 17

e: [REDACTED] [awnconsulting.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@awnconsulting.com)
w: www.awnconsulting.com

[Click for map](#)

[View my LinkedIn profile](#)



awnconsulting.com

Announcing our brand new website, by ionic

visit >>

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

"This communication contains information which is confidential, and is for the exclusive use of the addressee(s). If you are not a named addressee please contact the sender immediately, and delete the communication from your system. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent AWN Consulting Limited unless specifically stated."

AWN Consulting Limited registered in Ireland No. 319812

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Jim Fanning](mailto:Jim.Fanning)
Subject: RE: Sea Road - contaminated stone - without prejudice
Date: 23 June 2016 16:06:53

Thank you for your response Jim, I shall now send over to the client in the hope that we can begin to move forwards.

Kind Regards,

[REDACTED]



t
e
w
[REDACTED]
www.principis.co.uk

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

From: Jim Fanning [mailto:jim_fanning@hsa.ie]
Sent: 23 June 2016 16:05
To: [REDACTED] <matt@principis.co.uk>
Subject: RE: Sea Road - contaminated stone - without prejudice

Hi [REDACTED],

Sorry for delay in getting back to you. I have no problem with your summary (below) of our telephone discussion this morning.

Kind regards

Jim Fanning

James Fanning | Inspector, Occupational Hygiene
Health and Safety Authority,
The Metropolitan Building, James Joyce Street, Dublin 1
D01 K0Y8 .

Tel: 01-6147056

Email: jim_fanning@hsa.ie

Web: www.hsa.ie

From: [REDACTED] [<mailto:matt@principis.co.uk>]
Sent: 23 June 2016 12:48
To: Jim Fanning
Subject: Sea Road - contaminated stone - without prejudice
Importance: High

Dear Mr Fanning,

Thank you for the opportunity to discuss the above site and our position in respect of works to be undertaken as submitted methods and risk assessments provided and our understanding of your position at the HSA, I have summarised our discussion in brief below.

- It was discussed that [REDACTED] have been told that the HSA have approved methods and risk assessments, therefore we had requested such in writing as in our opinion the HSA do not approve methods, it was confirmed that the HSA has received notification and methods from Kilsarans appointed contractors.
- [REDACTED] stated information received to date in respect of methods; notably the initial notification from [REDACTED] 03/06/16 for asbestos containing aggregate which specified mechanical digging/extraction and bagging through a hopper.
- [REDACTED] further noted that material has been since classified as asbestos cement, in most recent submitted method statement by another contractor and that this material is not asbestos cement, JF was in agreement. Current risk assessment relating to exposure has been undertaken as such and this is inaccurate. Therefore we have requested a suitable assessment.
- JF noted the background relating to the blast and relative levels of risk associated with works which had been undertaken since.
- [REDACTED] outlined that his position was to protect his clients liabilities in respect of the ongoing works and not works which had previously been undertaken, such as laying stone and the like they are a separate matter. [REDACTED] is not in place to approve or select contractors methods or risk assessments but just to ensure that they adhere to the current asbestos regulations, guidance and European Directive again to prevent any potential prejudice against the site owner and liabilities associated with the works.
- [REDACTED] noted that methods had also changed from mechanical digging to vacuum extraction and that he had requested confirmation that such extraction of materials is suitable as per regulations and guidance in particular Regulation 9 (2) ensuring that all premises and equipment involved in the treatment of asbestos can be effectively cleaned. Together with section 17 of the guidance and conformity to British standards. Again this is not to approve methods but to ensure that the right questions have been asked in relation to this, this is the responsibility of the contractor and their client, we just seek confirmation on such matters.
- [REDACTED] noted that the Sea Road site has three materials which require removal, cobbles, the stone and soil and is this method of vacuum suitable for all? As method statement does not specify such, and if not, how are other materials handled/removed. Particularly the request to remove 150mm of soil from tracked areas had not been addressed. JF understood this request and difference with materials.

- JF noted that Mooneys undertook a job in Ashford using original methods of diggers and asked whether there were issues with that methods that [REDACTED] had raised. [REDACTED] re-affirmed that he had no issues with those proposed methods, however method statement and risk assessment most notably did not demonstrate suitable assessment in accordance with regulation 8 and the guidance and lacked detail relating to sequence and handling of different materials.
- JF noted that re-assurance monitoring undertaken at other sites has proved methods are working well. [REDACTED] noted that this is pleasing and that we have requested such records be sued for assessment and were expecting such records to be used in respect of assessments, however such information has not been used.
- JF provided detail on selection of vacuum method as this was going to be used at the quarry site. [REDACTED] clarified that it is important that works are undertaken in respect of health and safety and in particular reducing the potential to those being exposed to asbestos, including anyone in appropriate RPE and PPE and that methods which remove persons from the workplace and reduce such risks are preferable, however they need appropriate assessment and must adhere to regulations and guidance.
- [REDACTED] raised the point of independence and how his client does not view Kilsaran appointing contractor and consultant as independent and that they had reasonably requested their own consultant be in attendance to work alongside/with all to ensure that upon completion they and the owner can be satisfied validation was independent so again as to not potentially prejudice the future of the property and any liabilities. The watching brief consultant would not be in place to change methods but would be able to work with all in substantiating methods and risk assessments and this is in the interests of all parties. JF was in agreement.
- [REDACTED] thanked JF for his time and noted that he shall send notes to JF summarising the conversation.

END

I trust the above suitably picks up the relevant points we discussed from my notes taken and that you are in agreement, if you can let me know as soon as possible any issues you may have prior to my issue to my client. I am hopeful that we can have works progress on site soon in the interests of all parties.

Kind Regards,

[REDACTED]



t
e
w [REDACTED]
www.principis.co.uk

From: [Jim Fanning](#)
To: [Darren Arkins](#)
Subject: RE: Notification-Molloy & Sherry, Bond Rd, Dublin
Date: 23 June 2016 15:40:00

Darren

Colm rang me this pm to outline plans for this works (of 16 – 20 weeks duration, up to 6 operatives). He was agreeable to your point raised below re use of DCU.

Jim

From: Darren Arkins
Sent: 23 June 2016 10:00
To: Jim Fanning
Subject: RE: Notification-Molloy & Sherry, Bond Rd, Dublin

Jim,

I had a quick scan of this. I note the DCU will be present for emergency purposes only, however, I would be keen to see it used by operatives post work each day due to nature of material.

You might discuss further with [REDACTED] when you get around to this one.

Regards,
Darren

From: [REDACTED] [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@hastieinsulation.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@hastieinsulation.com)]
Sent: 23 June 2016 09:39
To: AsbestosNotif
Subject: Notification-Molloy & Sherry, Bond Rd, Dublin

Dear Sirs

Please see attached notification and proposed RAMS for works at Bond rd that you are aware of already.

We were hoping to discuss potential start / site set up date and would hope to call to discuss.

Look forward to your reply

Best Regards

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Contracts Director
Hastie Insulation (Irl) Ltd
Unit 9, Whitecross, Julianstown, Co. Meath
Ph: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED] [hastieinsulation.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@hastieinsulation.com)

Web: www.hastieinsulation.com

Isoquar reg; ISO 9001;2008

URS reg; OHSAS 18001;2007

From: [Darren Arkins](#)
To: [Jim Fanning](#)
Subject: RE: seagreen query
Date: 23 June 2016 13:38:49

Jim,

I spoke to [REDACTED] and can update you shortly. No materials have been vacuumed yet. We need to discuss with [REDACTED] after lunch.

Regards,
Darren

From: Jim Fanning
Sent: 23 June 2016 13:31
To: Darren Arkins
Subject: FW: seagreen query

From: [REDACTED] [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@awnconsulting.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@awnconsulting.com)]
Sent: 23 June 2016 13:30
To: Jim Fanning
Subject: seagreen query

Jim,

On seagreen, [REDACTED] is doing a pilot this afternoon. G & L are doing reassurance on site and John Kelleher at the quarry. Woods would prefer to have the concrete pathway removed as it overlies the material from the quarry. If we have a method statement updated for delivery by truck from the Bromley site, could we also bring this concrete back to the quarry i.e rather than bag it? If so I would get Karl O Kelly to amend his method statement accordingly.

Kiind Regards

[REDACTED]

AWN Consulting
The Tecpro Building
IDA Business and Technology Park
Clonsaugh
Dublin 17

e: [REDACTED]@awnconsulting.com
w: www.awnconsulting.com

[Click for map](#)

[View my LinkedIn profile](#)


awnconsulting.com
Announcing our brand new website, by ionic

visit >>

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

"This communication contains information which is confidential, and is for the exclusive use of the addressee(s). If you are not a named addressee please contact the sender immediately, and delete the communication from your system. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent AWN Consulting Limited unless specifically stated."

AWN Consulting Limited registered in Ireland No. 319812

This communication contains information which is confidential, and is for the exclusive use of the addressee(s). If you are not a named addressee please contact the sender immediately, and delete the communication from your system. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent AWN Consulting Limited unless specifically stated.

From: [Jim Fanning](#)
To: [REDACTED] [netprojectmanagement.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@netprojectmanagement.com)
Cc: [REDACTED] [awnconsulting.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@awnconsulting.com)
Subject: Sea Road, Kilcoole site
Date: 22 June 2016 16:21:00

Hi [REDACTED]

Further to my telephone call this afternoon, you will find my contact details below. Also if required my mobile no. is [REDACTED]. As discussed, your consultant is welcome to give me a call.

Kind regards

Jim Fanning

James Fanning | Inspector, Occupational Hygiene
Health and Safety Authority
The Metropolitan Building, James Joyce Street, Dublin 1
Tel: 01 6147056 | Fax: 01 6147020
Email: jim_fanning@hsa.ie | Web: www.hsa.ie

A Culture of Workplace Safety and Health for All

From: [REDACTED]
To: Jim.Fanning
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Reassurance sample results
Date: 21 June 2016 16:28:11
Attachments: [AWN_ballinclare quarry air test No. 6a_200616.pdf](#)

Hi Jim

I have also included the results we received today from [REDACTED] which were not included in the letter from yesterday. All samples were <0.01 f/ml.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

AWN Consulting
The Tecpro Building
IDA Business and Technology Park
Clonshaugh
Dublin 17

e: [REDACTED] [awnconsulting.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@awnconsulting.com)
w: www.awnconsulting.com

[Click for map](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

"This communication contains information which is confidential, and is for the exclusive use of the addressee(s). If you are not a named addressee please contact the sender immediately, and delete the communication from your system. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent AWN Consulting Limited unless specifically stated."

AWN Consulting Limited registered in Ireland No. 319812

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 June 2016 16:06
To: 'Jim Fanning' <jim_fanning@hsa.ie>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@awnconsulting.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@awnconsulting.com)>
Subject: FW: Reassurance sample results

Good afternoon Jim

Please find the link and sign in details for our reassurance reports from G&L Consulting.

I have also attached the letter we sent to Wicklow County Council yesterday with an update on the sampling at the Quarry. This is quite large so I will send as 2 emails.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

AWN Consulting
The Tecpro Building
IDA Business and Technology Park
Clonshaugh
Dublin 17

e: [REDACTED] [awnconsulting.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@awnconsulting.com)
w: www.awnconsulting.com

[Click for map](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

"This communication contains information which is confidential, and is for the exclusive use of the addressee(s). If you are not a named addressee please contact the sender immediately, and delete the communication from your system. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent AWN Consulting Limited unless specifically stated."

AWN Consulting Limited registered in Ireland No. 319812

From: [REDACTED] [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@gnl.org.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@gnl.org.uk)]
Sent: 21 June 2016 11:44
To: [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@awnconsulting.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@awnconsulting.com)>
Subject: RE: Reassurance sample results

I think there was something wrong with the link but I have also updated your password.

Go to the link <https://reportsonline.ni.gnl.org.uk>

Try again using your email [REDACTED] [awnconsulting.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@awnconsulting.com) and the password [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

The certificates of completion are also on the portal.

Let me know if it works this time.

Thanks

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

G&L Consultancy Ltd
5 Hazelbank Road, Lawrencetown
Craigavon, Co. Armagh BT63 6DS

Tel: [REDACTED]
Fax: 02840 629 217

Email: [REDACTED] [gnl.org.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@gnl.org.uk)
Web: <http://www.gnl.org.uk>

TEAMS Portal Web Address - <http://gnl.teams-software.co.uk>

From: [redacted] [[mailto:\[redacted\]@awnconsulting.com](mailto:[redacted]@awnconsulting.com)]
Sent: 21 June 2016 11:24
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Reassurance sample results

Hi Helen

I can't seem to log in as my email address or the password isn't recognised.

As an aside, can you tell me if the Certificates of Re-occupation for Glendale and the two Redcross sites have been included on the website and/or can you email me copies?

Kind regards,

[redacted]

AWN Consulting
The Tecpro Building
IDA Business and Technology Park
Clonshaugh
Dublin 17

e: [redacted]@awnconsulting.com
w: www.awnconsulting.com

[Click for map](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

"This communication contains information which is confidential, and is for the exclusive use of the addressee(s). If you are not a named addressee please contact the sender immediately, and delete the communication from your system. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent AWN Consulting Limited unless specifically stated."

AWN Consulting Limited registered in Ireland No. 319812

From: [redacted] [[mailto:\[redacted\]@gnl.org.uk](mailto:[redacted]@gnl.org.uk)]
Sent: 21 June 2016 10:15
To: [redacted] <[redacted]@awnconsulting.com>
Subject: RE: Reassurance sample results

Hi [redacted]

I have set you up with portal access to our TEAMS system so you can access any certificates we have done for you.

The portal web address is <http://gnl.teams-software.co.uk>

Log on using your email address and the password has been set up as wicklow – (I can change this for you if you wish)

Once logged on, click on the air monitoring tab and you will see a list of the certificates we have completed for you – to generate a certificate, click on the PDF icon on the left hand side. These

can then be saved to your computer if you want.

You can also view your appointments on the diary tab and quotes, invoices etc.

If you have any queries or you want any other users set up please don't hesitate to contact me.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

G&L Consultancy Ltd
5 Hazelbank Road, Lawrencetown
Craigavon, Co. Armagh BT63 6DS

Tel: [REDACTED]
Fax: 02840 629 217

Email: [REDACTED][gnl.org.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@gnl.org.uk)
Web: <http://www.gnl.org.uk>

TEAMS Portal Web Address - <http://gnl.teams-software.co.uk>

From: [REDACTED] [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@awnconsulting.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@awnconsulting.com)]
Sent: 20 June 2016 17:23
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Reassurance sample results

Hi [REDACTED]

I was wondering if you could send me all the results you have so far for the reassurance monitoring? I have some from Glendale as well as one from Redcross and one from Seagreen. However, I'm missing all the other results.

Could you also copy me in to all emails for my reference.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

AWN Consulting
The Tecpro Building
IDA Business and Technology Park
Clonshaugh
Dublin 17

e: [REDACTED][awnconsulting.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@awnconsulting.com)
w: www.awnconsulting.com

[Click for map](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

"This communication contains information which is confidential, and is for the exclusive use of the addressee(s). If you are not a named addressee please contact the sender immediately, and delete the

Client:
AWN Consulting Ltd.
The Tecpro Building, Clonsaugh
Business & Technology Park, Dublin 17

Contractor:
Gravity Construction Ltd.
Barntown
Co. Wexford

Site Address:
Ballinclare Quarry
Co. Wicklow

Contact: [REDACTED]

Contact: [REDACTED]

Areas to be assessed:
Ballinclare Quarry

Scope of Works:
Background monitoring of Ambient
Air at designated areas around site.

Sampled & Tested on 20/06/16
Analyst: [REDACTED]
Lab location: Base

Type of Test	Reason for Test	Sample No.s	Purpose/Detail of Test
	Prior to work		Background test to ascertain the datum level of airborne respirable fibres prior to carrying out asbestos remedial works.
Background Test	During remedial work	Jkf 160620 01 - 6	Background test to ascertain the concentration of airborne respirable fibres during preparation of repatriation area by excavators.
	Following removal works		Background test to ascertain the concentration of airborne respirable fibres as a reassurance to occupants.
	During Transiting		Background test to ascertain the concentration of airborne respirable fibres during operatives transiting from area of work to decontamination facilities.
	During moving of Waste		Background test to ascertain the concentration of airborne respirable fibres in the waste route during the moving of waste from area of work to disposal facilities.
	Assessment of Laboratory environment		Background air monitoring to ensure suitability of the environment of the laboratory where fibre counting is undertaken.
Leak Test	Check the integrity of enclosures		Leak test of the integrity of the enclosure and the efficiency of control measures implemented during the <u>REMOVAL OF / REMEDIAL WORKS*</u> on asbestos containing materials within an enclosed area.
Clearance Indicator Test	Clearance of enclosures		Clearance indicator test to ensure airborne respirable fibre level is within the 'permitted level of site cleanliness' to allow normal occupancy of area previously enclosed following the <u>REMOVAL OF / REMEDIAL WORKS*</u> on asbestos containing materials.
Reassurance Test	Reassurance upon striling of enclosures		Reassurance air test to ascertain level of airborne respirable fibres following the removal of enclosure.
Personal Monitoring	Compliance Monitoring		Personal air test to assess whether or not personal exposures of workers are in compliance with <u>4h/10 min control limit</u> .
	Respirator Assessment		Personal air test to assess the effectiveness of dust suppression measures and the suitability of respiratory protection during asbestos removal works.
Blank	Media Blank		Blank filter test to ensure the suitability of sampling filters.
	Field Blank		Blank filter test to ensure suitability of sampling filters and integrity of counting process.
	Lab Blank		Blank filter test to ensure the suitability of lab environment following failure of field test.
Other:			

*Delete as appropriate

Enclosure(s):

HAS * met the Clearance requirements of MDHS 29/4 and HSG248 and may be dismantled. For enclosure locations please see over page. For satisfactory Clearance, 80% of samples must be <0.01 f/ml and all samples must <0.015 f/ml.

Where leak tests were taken the results indicated that a leak WAS / WAS NOT* detected in the following enclosure:

N.B. <0.01 f/ml is generally considered to be satisfactory for leak tests although the Analyst may take action should they suspect asbestos fibres are present on the slide irrespective of the result.

Sampling was carried out using membrane filter methods in accordance with the Safety Health and Welfare at Work (Exposure to Asbestos) Regulations 2006 and the associated EU directives, taking cognisance of Health and Safety Executive Guidance HSG 248.

Diagram of sample positions (not to scale)



**Locations by Sample Head reference –

SITE CONDITION AND MICROSCOPE SETUP	
Date of counting	20/06/16
Phase annuli centred	YES
Graticule Diameter	100µm
Mic. Condenser centred	YES
No. of NPL blocks visible	5
Exposed filter diameter	22
Field Blank (Y/N)	N/A
Microscope ID	JK001
Air pressure at site	N/A
Air press. at calib. site	N/A
Air temp at calibration site	N/A
Air temperature at site	N/A
Flow rate correction (Y/N)	N
Barometer ID	N/A
Timer ID	TP001
Filter Batch No.	R4SA42516
Thermometer No.	N/A
Flow Meter No.	JK002
Mobile / Site / Base	Base

Site Location: Ballinclare Quarry, Co. Wicklow

Background Air Test

Anal- yst	Location	Sample Ref:	Samp. Head	Pump	Time on	Time off	Duration	Start/ Stop Flow (l/min)	Average Flow (l/min)	Volume (litres)	Fibres	Fields	Result f/ml
JK	Digger 1	Jkf 160620 01	B	01	09.14	13.15	241	2 l/m 2 l/m	2 l/m	482	3	200	<0.01
JK	Digger 2	Jkf 160620 02	X	02	09.15	13.15	240	2 l/m 2 l/m	2 l/m	480	5 ½	200	<0.01
JK	Dig area	Jkf 160620 03	S	10	09.14	11.20	126	4 l/m 4 l/m	4 l/m	504	1	200	<0.01
JK	Bottom of dig site	Jkf 160620 04	Z	12	09.12	11.17	125	4 l/m 4 l/m	4 l/m	500	2	200	<0.01
JK	Bag storage area	Jkf 160620 05	W	08	09.40	11.42	122	4 l/m 4 l/m	4 l/m	488	2 ½	200	<0.01
JK	Hygiene area	Jkf 160620 06	F	07	09.45	11.48	123	4 l/m 4 l/m	4 l/m	492	2	200	<0.01

Comments: Air test satisfactory. The measured respirable airborne fibre concentration was less than the clearance indicator of 0.01 f/ml of air. Static pumps were ran in the cabs of both diggers.

Signed on behalf of About Safety Ltd.		Print Name	
Date of issue	20/06/16		

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Jim Fanning](mailto:Jim.Fanning)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Reassurance sample results
Date: 21 June 2016 16:27:53
Attachments: [5 Figure 1 Kilsaran Ballinclare SECTION 55 AIR Monitoring Locations 14 06 2016.pdf](#)

Email 3 of 3

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 June 2016 16:06
To: 'Jim Fanning' <jim_fanning@hsa.ie>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@awnconsulting.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@awnconsulting.com)>
Subject: FW: Reassurance sample results

Good afternoon Jim

Please find the link and sign in details for our reassurance reports from G&L Consulting.

I have also attached the letter we sent to Wicklow County Council yesterday with an update on the sampling at the Quarry. This is quite large so I will send as 2 emails.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

AWN Consulting
The Tecpro Building
IDA Business and Technology Park
Clonshaugh
Dublin 17

e: [REDACTED]@awnconsulting.com
w: www.awnconsulting.com

[Click for map](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

"This communication contains information which is confidential, and is for the exclusive use of the addressee(s). If you are not a named addressee please contact the sender immediately, and delete the communication from your system. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent AWN Consulting Limited unless specifically stated."

AWN Consulting Limited registered in Ireland No. 319812

From: [REDACTED] [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@gnl.org.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@gnl.org.uk)]
Sent: 21 June 2016 11:44
To: [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@awnconsulting.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@awnconsulting.com)>
Subject: RE: Reassurance sample results

I think there was something wrong with the link but I have also updated your password.

Go to the link <https://reportsonline.ni.gnl.org.uk>

Try again using your email [REDACTED] awnconsulting.com and the password [REDACTED]

The certificates of completion are also on the portal.

Let me know if it works this time.

Thanks

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

G&L Consultancy Ltd
5 Hazelbank Road, Lawrencetown
Craigavon, Co. Armagh BT63 6DS

Tel: [REDACTED]
Fax: 02840 629 217

Email: [REDACTED] [gnl.org.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@gnl.org.uk)
Web: <http://www.gnl.org.uk>

TEAMS Portal Web Address - <http://gnl.teams-software.co.uk>

From: [REDACTED] [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@awnconsulting.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@awnconsulting.com)]
Sent: 21 June 2016 11:24
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Reassurance sample results

Hi Helen

I can't seem to log in as my email address or the password isn't recognised.

As an aside, can you tell me if the Certificates of Re-occupation for Glendale and the two Redcross sites have been included on the website and/or can you email me copies?

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

AWN Consulting
The Tecpro Building
IDA Business and Technology Park
Clonshaugh
Dublin 17

e: [REDACTED] [awnconsulting.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@awnconsulting.com)
w: www.awnconsulting.com

[Click for map](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

"This communication contains information which is confidential, and is for the exclusive use of the addressee(s). If you are not a named addressee please contact the sender immediately, and delete the communication from your system. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent AWN Consulting Limited unless specifically stated."

AWN Consulting Limited registered in Ireland No. 319812

From: [redacted] [[mailto:\[redacted\]@gnl.org.uk](mailto:[redacted]@gnl.org.uk)]
Sent: 21 June 2016 10:15
To: [redacted] <[\[redacted\]@awnconsulting.com](mailto:[redacted]@awnconsulting.com)>
Subject: RE: Reassurance sample results

Hi [redacted]

I have set you up with portal access to our TEAMS system so you can access any certificates we have done for you.

The portal web address is <http://gnl.teams-software.co.uk>

Log on using your email address and the password has been set up as [redacted] – (I can change this for you if you wish)

Once logged on, click on the air monitoring tab and you will see a list of the certificates we have completed for you – to generate a certificate, click on the PDF icon on the left hand side. These can then be saved to your computer if you want.

You can also view your appointments on the diary tab and quotes, invoices etc.

If you have any queries or you want any other users set up please don't hesitate to contact me.

[redacted]

[redacted]

G&L Consultancy Ltd
5 Hazelbank Road, Lawrencetown
Craigavon, Co. Armagh BT63 6DS

Tel: [redacted]
Fax: 02840 629 217

Email: [redacted]@gnl.org.uk
Web: <http://www.gnl.org.uk>

TEAMS Portal Web Address - <http://gnl.teams-software.co.uk>

From: [redacted] [[mailto:\[redacted\]@awnconsulting.com](mailto:[redacted]@awnconsulting.com)]
Sent: 20 June 2016 17:23
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Reassurance sample results

Hi Helen

I was wondering if you could send me all the results you have so far for the reassurance monitoring? I have some from Glendale as well as one from Redcross and one from Seagreen. However, I'm missing all the other results.

Could you also copy me in to all emails for my reference.

Kind regards,

[redacted]

AWN Consulting
The Tecpro Building
IDA Business and Technology Park
Clonshaugh
Dublin 17

e: [redacted]@awnconsulting.com
w: www.awnconsulting.com

[Click for map](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

"This communication contains information which is confidential, and is for the exclusive use of the addressee(s). If you are not a named addressee please contact the sender immediately, and delete the communication from your system. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent AWN Consulting Limited unless specifically stated."

AWN Consulting Limited registered in Ireland No. 319812

This communication contains information which is confidential, and is for the exclusive use of the addressee(s). If you are not a named addressee please contact the sender immediately, and delete the communication from your system. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent AWN Consulting Limited unless specifically stated.

This footer also confirms that this e-mail message has been scanned for the presence of computer viruses. Scanning of this message and addition of this footer is performed by [Microsoft Office 365](#) email gateway, IT service provider company [Ergo Group](#).

AWN Consulting Limited registered in Ireland No. 319812